



Journal of Educational Leadership, Policy and Practice (JELPP)

Editor: Michele Morrison

Title of article:

Reviewer's name:

Thank you for agreeing to review this article/leadership story/book review. This review template has two sections:

Section 1: Feedback to the editors only. Please be frank.

Section 2: Feedback to the author/s. This should be constructive and specific in its advice.

Section 1: To the editor only

Please use the table below to assess the article. Main criteria include:

- Relevance to journal objectives (contributing new knowledge to and furthering debate on educational leadership policy and practice, in NZ and internationally),
- Clarity of writing for audience of educators (tertiary, primary, secondary) and policy-makers,
- Identifies, where appropriate, a relevant study design,
- Conforms to APA conventions.

Please note that leadership stories are anecdotal accounts of practice (3,000 words), rather than academic journal articles (7,000 words). As such, they are likely to be descriptive and to focus on one or two key issues. In leadership stories, reference lists are typically but not always small and accounts of methodology minimal.

Criteria	Strong	Acceptable	Weak	Not acceptable	Reasons
Relevance to journal objectives	✓				
Clarity of writing	✓				
Study design	✓				
Accuracy of APA referencing	✓				

Overall, my assessment of this article is:

Accept Accept with minor revisions Accept with major revisions Reject

Other comments important for the editors to know:

NB: Sections 1 & 2 = Editors only; Section 2 ONLY goes to the authors

Section 2: Notes to Author/s

Title of article:

<i>Overall comment:</i>	
<i>Specific comment/recommendations: (Please tick one option in each category)</i>	
Quality of submission/ argument is mainly:	Feedback:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Strong	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
Quality of writing is mainly:	Feedback:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Clear and easy to read	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Adequate	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Weak	
Quality of referencing is mainly:	Feedback:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accurate and current	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Needs work	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unacceptable	